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Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to develop an analytical account on the contemporary architecture of Cairo with emphasis on the past three decades, from the early 1990s to the present. The paper critically analyses narratives of the plurality of “isms”, within architectural vocabulary and discourse, that resulted from the contextual particularities that shaped it.

Design/methodology/approach – Three lines of inquiry are envisioned as overarching aspects of architecture: the chronological, the interventional and the representational. These discussions are underpinned by the discourse of decolonisation and cosmopolitanism, posited sequentially by Frantz Fanon in The Wretched of the Earth (1961), and Ulrich Beck in The Cosmopolitan Vision (2004). The analysis expands to interrogate these two notions as prelude for reflecting on representations of selected projects: The Smart Village (2001); the Great Egyptian Museum (2002), Al-Azhar Park (2005), American University in Cairo New Campus (2008/2009), and the New Administrative Capital (2018).

Findings – The investigation on the interventional and the representational levels via aspects of discursivity and contradictions highlights that decolonisation and cosmopolitanism are two inseparable facets in the architectural practice in Egypt’s 21st century. These indivisible notions are based on idiosyncratic core to human experience, which emerged from concurrent overturning historical and secular everyday life striving to suppress ideological supremacy.

Research limitations/implications – Further detailed examples can be developed to offer discerning elucidations relevant to both notions of cosmopolitanism and decolonialisation.

Originality/value – The paper offers novel theoretical analysis of Cairo’s most recent architecture. The reflection on the notions of decolonialisation and cosmopolitanism is a timely example of the complex cultural encounters that have shaped the Egyptian architecture, given the recent interventions by the “Modern State” that legitimised such notions.
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1. Historical contextualisation: roots of pluralism

Egypt is a country with a multi-layered history because of the geographic and political multi-imperial contexts. This resulted in both continuous cultural reorganisation with “overturning cosmopolitan categories” (Beck, 2006, p. 76) and decolonisation aspirations. By decolonisation, Fanon meant the liberation struggle, or process of replacing power systems and its reciprocal cultural conceptions. On the geographic level, Egypt’s location on the intersection of three continents bestowed the country with two intriguing layers: the
“Mediterraneanism”, and the “Middle-Easternism”. Within Mediterraneanism attitudes are divided between refusing or accepting Huntington’s “clash of civilisations” (Huntington, 1996) [1]. Yet, within Middle-Easternism positions are fluctuating between Arabism and Islamism (Salama, 2012).

On the political level, the multifaceted imperial history of Egypt resulted in its multilayered character. The Islamic character continued to shape the city in various vocabularies as of its foundation by the Arabs in 642 throughout the Ayyubids (1171-1250), the Mamluk (1250-1517), and the Ottomans (1517-1798), until the advent of the French (1798-1801) (Moreh, 2003). The French followed by Muhammed “Ali’s monarchy (1805-1953), especially the reign of khedive Ismail (r. 1863-1879), was aspired to bring Paris along the Nile. Therefore, historians tend to identify three major cultural influences: “the Arab-Islamic conquest”; “the non-Arab Islamic conquests” (AlSayyad, 1991) (comprising Kurds, Turks and Ottomans); and “the European encroachments” (Vatikiotis, 1991, p. 10). Europeanism and/or Mediterraneanism, however, took the lead through the monarchy’s aspiration that was manifested in most of their palaces and buildings, along with some conservative Mamluk-inspired compositions.

The Mediterranean influence, on the one hand, is palpable in the Gezira Palace’s details of its cast-iron slender portico by the German architect Carl Wilhelm von Diebitsch (Plate 1, left), inspired by the Nasrid Palaces of Alhambra. On the other hand, the Mamluk vocabulary appeared in the Awqāf [endowment] administration building (1898-1912) (Volait, 2006) [2], which was built when the administration was under complete British control. Mamluk vocabulary is materialised in the pure adoption of Mamluk style with its stalactites ornamentations, richly carved stonework, the two-tones, red and white, bandings (Plate 1, right). As such, Mamluk revival during that period represented domestication of the oriental perceptions.

This domestication of colonial perspectives was one phase of decolonisation, which continued to evolve through the reorganisation of the self’s perceptions along the history. The reorganisation process, as part of the decolonisation mechanism, as Fanon suggests, results in, “the creation of new men” (Fanon, 1963, p. 2), or new self. This new self is liberated from inherited orientalism representations that continue to prevail the colonised societies, through the mechanism of globalisation, as an outside presupposed process (Beck and Sznaider, 2010, p. 389), which, arguably, has not departed from colonialism representations. The liberation from colonialism’s perceptions is based on both the “throw away” of “old imperial spectacles” (Craggs and Wintle, 2016, p. 1), and the engagement with plural

Plate 1.
Left: Gezira Palace, (URL 1, 1985 Archnet); Right: Main façade of the Awqaf, (URL 2, 1994 Archnet.)

Source: Both taken by N. Rabbat, Aga Khan Documentation Center, MIT Libraries (AKDC@MIT)
categories and values. Egypt's history of pluralism unintentionally negotiated identity while entrenching the concept of cosmopolitanism and highlighting decolonisation and liberation overtures to negate the one-way Western influence.

The cosmopolitan character of Egypt is widely acknowledged by many scholars who have primarily focused on the 18th and 19th century, such as Sami Zubaida, Maya Jasanoff and Robert Ilbert. In the 20th century, few studies focused on the architectural cosmopolitanism in Egypt, such as Singerman and Amer’s *Cairo Cosmopolitan* (2011). They discussed Cairo’s cosmopolitanism as a result of the juxtapositions of economic privatisation, without delving into the representational level of discourse. Additionally, Volait’s study of global architecture between 1914 and 2014, although did not discuss cosmopolitanism *per se*, encapsulated the city’s various encounters with other cultures (2014). Cosmopolitanism in general, as has argued, is “the development of ways of living and thinking, styles of life which are deracinated from communities and cultures of origin” and discursively developed into a culturally new life (Zubaida, 1999, p. 15). This development includes appropriating values through either acceptance or renunciation. Similarly, decolonisation is “a nuanced process,” that combines both the denial of the “retained imperial characteristics” and the engagement with the “ambivalent agendas” (Craggs and Wintle, 201, p. 2). These diverse ideas align with Fanon’s decolonisation in *The Wretched of the Earth*, which went beyond the obsession with Europe’s affectations. In this way, the decolonised refutes any fixed universal category or culture.

This liberation from fixed terrains was further strengthened by the rise of international architecture. Between the two World Wars, architects started experimenting with concepts of international architecture. This period coincided with the rise of technocratic industrial bourgeoisie strata whose tastes and needs aligned with the modern style (Goldschmidt et al., 2005). In Egypt, this was materialised in the architectural discourse of al-’Imarah [The Architecture] (Volait, 1987), and how distinctly it propagated modernity as an apparatus of problem solving “through a structure of attitude and reference that controls inclusions and exclusions” (El-Ashmouni, 2013). At this period, the decolonisation strive was through reconceptualising and altering modernity’s norms (Appadurai, 1996); by distinguishing between ‘what is necessary/unavoidable and what is optional/avoidable within the project of modernity (Gaonkar, 2001, p. 9).

The Egyptian architects’ conceptualisation of modernity varied between Islamic and pharaonic revivalism, and modernist re-articulation of Art Deco vocabulary. Revivalism vocabulary (Plate 2, left) was mastered in public buildings by the first generation of Egyptian architects, although Western educated, such as Mustafa Fahmy (1886-1972), an Ecole des Beaux Arts’ graduate (1912) and Ali Labib Gabr (1898-1966), a Liverpool graduate (1924). They also represented modernist vocabulary in private buildings such as Waqf Raafat Bey Block and villa Om Kalthoum by Gabr (Haikal, 1973). Foreign architects also played a major role in reconceptualising the modernist vocabulary in the Egyptian context for affluent clients, such as the French architect Max Edri, in the first skyscraper in Egypt; the Immobilia in 1940 (Plate 2, right) (Volait, 2001).

Moreover, the end of the two World Wars in 1945, motivated a step further in the decolonisation process. On the global level, the French demographer Alfred Sauvy, bounded the newly independent nations within a monolithic identity of the “Third World”. Imposed from the coloniser, this monolithic identity agitated the need for the reorganisation of the newly “imagined communities”. Departing from this monolithic perspective and the colonizer’s impositions, intercultural affairs and cosmopolitanism was urged. Russian connections were established in 1949, and hence, inspired buildings such as the Mugamma
emerged. Also, American investors permeated the scene through the Hilton Hotel (1959). These alliances manifest both Beck’s overturning categories of cosmopolitanism and Fanon’s affirmation that during the decolonisation process the intercultural affair becomes the quantum of political and cultural liberation.

Notably, the impact of the British and French never ceased as the educational missions were directed to Liverpool and the Beaux-Arts in Paris. The influence of the American school started in the late 1940s with architects like Salah Zeytun who graduated from IIT, IL in 1947. Within Egypt, the flow of ideas and pluralism has been emulated, and subsequently materialised in juxtaposed representations of: tradition/modernity or Western/Islamic. This juxtaposition, in turn, challenges the two oppositional and discursive forces of influence and resistance – not the simple inside/outside dipole that Frampton articulates (1985) – that are immediately discernible within the discourse.

Here, the “techno-economic power” of the state was materialised in its aptitude to “rearrange the natural and social environment” (Mitchell, 2002, p. 22). Moreover, independence forges creative socio-cultural re-conceptions (Said, 1994, p. 218), such as socialism that was materialised in the design of the Socialist Union building (1959), as an emblem of Nasser’s era (AlSayyad, 2011, p. 231), Misr Insurance Building (1950), and the Arab League Headquarters (1955) by Mahmoud Riad (Plate 3). This socialist policy was also evident in the governmental economic and social housing projects. During post war, various voices vied for dominance fulfilling individual aspirations through nationalistic, ideological and development programmes (Citino, 2006) which were further articulated in the following decades.

Plate 2.
Left: Entrance of the Society of Egyptian Engineers (source: taken by Authors, 2011); Right: The Immobilia Apartment Building

Source: URL 3, Flicker, taken by Tulipe Noire, 2012
2. Unpacking cosmopolitanism and decolonialism

The complex geo-political context that characterised the architectural scene was further accentuated during 20th and throughout the twentieth-first centuries. By the 1990s, globalisation studies reconceptualised the postmodern context, which highlighted the emergence of cosmopolitanism framework. Moreover, by the end of the Cold War, Francis Fukuyama's famous claim of the “end of history”, announced the arrival of post-ideological struggles and the victory of Western democracy. This claim while manifested the history of decolonisation, it represented an impetus towards a new phase of decolonialism: “the reclamation of culture” (Fanon, 1963, p. 223). challenging values and nuancing constants of dominant ideology was encapsulated, on the global level, by the surge of deconstruction in architecture. Although deconstruction was not influential on the local level, it represents the world status quo that was characterised by the revolutionary needs that could not be achieved without inconsistent deconstruction of inherited coherence (Cornell et al., 1992, p. 26).

This revolutionary need marked the upsurge of decolonialism and interplayed the “overturning categories” of cosmopolitanism through non-hierarchal pluralism. Both notions of decolonisation and cosmopolitanism reached their peak by the tumble of old dictatorial regimes in the 2011 upheaval that crystallised the struggle to transcend the political and cultural impact of imperialism.

Decolonisation is a “change” that is “willed”, “called for”, and “demanded” (Fanon, 1963, p. 34). It “is a historical process”, that is manifested in the effort to establish new path in defining the self and its cultural relations. Therefore, decolonisation “never takes place unnoticed, for it influences individuals and modifies them fundamentally” (Fanon, 1963, p. 34). While “the colonial context is characterised by the dichotomy which it imposes upon the whole people”, the “decolonisation unifies” (Fanon, 1963, p. 44).

Source: Taken by Authors, 1999

Plate 3.
View of the Arab League Headquarters
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This unification within the configuration of decolonisation underpins the definition of cosmopolitanism. It is through a cosmopolitan outlook that we can make sense of global, interconnected and continuously blurring realities of the modern era. Beck identifies three categories of cosmopolitanism:

1. “normative” or philosophical cosmopolitanism;
2. the “cosmopolitan outlook” or “analytical-empirical cosmopolitanism”; and
3. “really existing cosmopolitanism” or “the cosmopolitanisation of reality” (Beck, 2006, p. 18).

The first is a generic perspective about harmonisation beyond national boundaries; the second is “a descriptive perspective in the social sciences which is liberated from national categories”; the third is unintentional that results as “a side effect [original emphasis] of actions” (Beck, 2006, p. 18). The unintentional cosmopolitanism, that takes place in everyday social life, produces global discussions, that is “concerned with transnational conflicts”, and develops “institutionalised cosmopolitanism”. This unintentional cosmopolitanism is an “action rather than idea” and a “practice rather than proposition” (Pollock, 2002, p. 17). This “cosmopolitanism of realism”, as Beck posits, recognises difference in a non-hierarchical way [added emphasis]; a principle of “both/and” (Beck, 2006, p. 57).

The “cosmopolitanism of realism” is a “synthesis” between “universalism, relativism, nationalism, and ethnicism” (Beck, 2006, p. 57), unlike postmodernism, that connotes some nationalistic references in many cases. Although both postmodernism and cosmopolitanism refute pure form, both notions are different in their time reference. While postmodernism rejects extremes of modernism, the cosmopolitanism phenomenon, in Beck’s terms, is the defining feature of reflexive modernity (Beck, 2006, p. 2). Postmodernism is inspired by historical lines, while cosmopolitanism cannot be fixed by the lines inscribed by modern subjectivities. Postmodernism uses “the past as referent” that is “not bracketed or effaced”. It is reinvigorated with new “life and meaning”, to communicate with its locality, while making “ ironic commentary upon its own language” (Kolb, 1990, p. 89).

The postmodernism of the seventies provided a transitional terrain for elaborating strategies for constructing identities, that was followed by more hybrid cosmopolitanism in the 1990s. These strategies for constructing selfhood – singular or communal – based on innovative intersected tempo-cultural sites in the act of defining liberated cosmopolitan identities. Rejection of traditional hierarchical relations facilitates navigation between cultures and grants “a sense of mastery”, or decolonisation, that enables the feeling that “a little more of the world is somehow under control” (Hannerz, 1996, p. 103). However, this navigation between cultures is unintentionally shaped by discursive influence and resistance attitudes.

In essence, cosmopolitanism is a growing prominent area in the social science. Hannerz differentiated between globalisation and cosmopolitanism by highlighting that globalisation is a mere boundary crossing, while cosmopolitanism is the engagement with the Other (Hannerz, 1996, p. 103). Also, G. Kendall, et al. established conceptual links between otherness, mobility and global cosmopolitan utopia from sociological and political perspectives (Kendall et al., 2009). Similarly, Chris Hann analyses the displacements of a global world and involves moral, economic and political transformations in third world countries (Hann, 2008).

Surmounting the two-folded binary between imperial cosmopolitanism and the subaltern stance, decolonialisation scholars have conceived cosmopolitanism as a decolonial project. Mignolo, for example, highlights that cosmopolitanism yields “diversity […] in which
everyone participates instead of ‘being participated’” (Mignolo, 2000, p. 744). This dialogical cosmopolitanism aligns with the decolonial notion of the discourses introduced by the authors in this context. Both cosmopolitanism and decolonisation strive to bring the world together by asymmetrical juxtaposition, which was hierarchically structured in empires in the first place. Although imperialism forcibly crossed boundaries, it brought populations together, “with enduring effects” (Jasanoff, 2005, p. 396), that one of its great “achievements” was bringing “the world closer together” (Said, 1994, p. xxi) Therefore, decolonisation is an “encounter between two congenitally antagonistic forces,” both of them “owe their singularity” to the “the colonial situation” (Fanon, 1963, p. 2).

The notion of decolonisation and architecture was recently underscored by Craggs and Wintle who examine several case studies around the world, but do not expose to the Egyptian context. In this respect, they affirm that analysing “the performative capacity” of architecture “unpack the relationship between decolonisation, transculturation and globalisation.” (Craggs and Wintle, 2016, p. 197). Although the cosmopolitan nature of Cairo and other cities in Egypt is acknowledged by many studies, connecting decolonisation and cosmopolitanism with architectural representations of 21st century in Egypt has not been deeply studied yet.

3. Towards, into, and through the 1990s: architecture of isms and attitudes of references

Egypt, during Sadat’s era (1970-1979), turning away from the Russian, the initah, or the “openness”, that opened the opportunities for private sector investors, turned Cairo into a globalised capital city. Hotels (Plate 4), skyscrapers, shopping malls and fast food franchises, that hold pure modernised vocabulary and owe nothing to its locale, surrounded the Nile. This “openness” can be considered as another phase of the decolonisation and reorganisation of the society motivated by the aspiration for a modern American lifestyle. This phase reflects what Fanon highlighted as the “unqualified assimilation” to the attitudes and behaviours of the colonialist (Fanon, 1963, p. 221).

The decolonisation from the inherited oriental identity bestowed on the Middle East and the realisation of new identity was of great priority. Sadat’s influence with the American culture was manifested in his aspiration for Americanised villa housing the Egyptians as stated in his book (Sadat, 1978, p. 12). This aspiration resulted in a great change in the urban settings and the establishment of eighteen new cities in the Egyptian deserts. However, Cairo’s plural history made it impossible for a singular identity to dominate the scene. By the 1980s, some architects’ call for a “return” to Islamic identity operated to resist the Americanisation dream, enabling what Said termed as “structure of attitude and reference” (Said, 1994, p. xxiii).

This structure, as Said affirms, empowers an “authoritative centrality”, that is intrinsic to any literary work (Said, 1994, p. 239). This paper affirms that this structure extends to architecture through resistance or influence, and it reached its apex during the eighties. On the global level, this coincided with the Philip Johnson’s announcement of postmodernism that allowed for the historic and symbolic deployment which was an indispensable solution for the Egyptian plural context. Ideologically, postmodernism allowed for heterogeneous attitudes because of its “sensibility of inclusion” (Canizaro, 2007, p. 16). Therefore, postmodernism was used in the implicated resistance attitude of some revivalism designs such as, the design of the memorial of the Unknown Soldier (Plate 5) which combines overtook the pyramid shape while adopting modern sleek mass. Towards the 1980s, revivalism took many forms, including a revolt against Western materialism which resulted from the influences of modernisation (Curtis, 1982, p. 365). This led to the “obsession with
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Plate 4. Intercontinental Hotel

Source: Taken by Authors, 2011

Plate 5. The Memorial of the Unknown Soldier

Source: Taken by Authors, 2011
cultural representation” (Curtis, 1982, p. 365). In this respect, ideological formations, through contradictions of both resistance to and influence by the Western vocabulary, shaped the attitude of reference of the 20th century which was manifested in two levels: the interventional level and the representational level.

On the representational level, the resistance attitude reached its peak at the culmination of the 20th century by the call of, the Liverpool-educated (PhD 1959) architect and academic, Abdelbaqi Ibrahim for “a return” to the “spring of our Islamic heritage” on his national architectural magazine of ‘Alam al-Benaa [World of Building] (1980-2000). Hassan Fathy, as an enthusiast of such resistance attitude, used to lecture in the Centre of Planning and Architectural Studies (CPAS), the publishing centre of the magazine, and one of Ibrahim’s designs that manifests his resistance attitude. Fathy’s collaboration reinforced the magazine’s intended path over the next twenty years. One of its editorial board members is the architect ‘Abdelhalim ‘Abelhalim, whose Aga Khan Awarded design (1992) of Children’s Cultural Park in al-Sayeda Zeinab district, on the representational level, manifests such resistance attitude via a reinterpretation of Islamic vocabulary and indigenous construction technology. Ibrahim’s search for identity was first introduced in his article in al-Ahram newspaper in 1963, followed by a research paper in 1967 in UIA, Paris, then by his book al-Toraath al-Hadary le al-Madinah al-Arabyah al-Muaserah [Urban Heritage of the Contemporary Arab City] (Kuwait, 1968).

Another architectural magazine that also emphasised the resistance attitude was al-Mimaryah (1982-1989) [Arabic for The Architectural], a professional quarterly magazine published by the Society of Egyptian Architects. In al-Mimaryah, architect ‘Aly Bassuny criticised the designs of foreign firms, particularly Hilton Ramsees “the prison on the Nile” (similarly criticised in ‘Alam al-Benaa). He called for careful importation, when necessary. The attitude of resistance was manifested in three articles in al-Mimaryah that have reinforced the bipolarity between the West and the East.

On the other hand, the influence attitude on the interventional level came to the fore as early as the Infitah policy of Sadat during the seventies, which still stands at the heart of present-day events. It was visible in foreign-commissioned projects such as Cairo Plaza, and Al-Salam Hospital. The 39-storey twin tower of Cairo Plaza, designed by Associated Continental Architects (Gulf) for the MISR Abu Dhabi Property Development Co, was appraised for the technological “momentum,” that would “pierce the Nile-side skyline” (Casati, 1979). Also, As-Salam hospital is considered the first phase in the modernisation process of Cairo’s waterfront, implemented by Sadat. These modernist initiatives were viewed as “a collision between its civilisation as it has been and its civilisation as it is becoming” (Abercrombie, 1978). The foreign-commissioned projects continued to provide technological and architectural shifts for example: World Trade Centre (1988) by Skidmore, Owings and Merrill’s London office with ‘Ali Nour El-Din Nassar; and Grand Nile Hotel (1974–2000) by the William B. Tabler Architects. These and other similar joint ventures celebrated Cairo’s entrance to “the 21st century with a fast-changing morphology” (Volait, 2004, p. 203).

During Mubarak’s era (1980-2011), particularly during the nineties, the newly emerged urban phenomenon of the gated communities aspired for a Western-influenced lifestyle started to spread within the new cities surrounding Greater Cairo, which were mainly planned during the seventies to accommodate the middle class. Gated communities, villas and mansions, lavish green spaces and fashionable recreational and social amenities, were constructed in 6th of October City, El-Sheikh Zayed (Plate 6), New Cairo, El-Obur and El-Shoruq cities. Residential designs evocative of “Beverly Hills” on the desert, which is both “surreal and familiar” (Ivy, 2004, p. 206). The heterogeneous attitudes in these communities,
whether Mediterranean or Islamic, manifest the refusal of framing the Egyptian culture in a singular entity. This attitude of resisting the framing of a specific “ism” and the architectural pluralism of the nineties, this article argues, is the process of the decolonisation through which the colonised simultaneously regain their lands and dignity. Generally, the hybridity, as seen by Fanon, is regarded as an opposition to hegemonic colonial perceptions, and a non-assimilationist way of building connections across cultures (Fanon, 1965).

The continuous emergence of new typologies is also palpable in the phenomenon of shopping malls, which started in the 1990s in Cairo and continued to spread in the country symbolising the alliance between foreign and Egyptian capitalists (Abaza, 2006, p. 205). Nasr City is one of the suburbs which witnessed an explosion in these centres, such as Tiba Mall (1995), Geneina Mall (1998) and al-Sirag Mall (1999). The architectural vocabulary of such facilities is remarkably heterogeneous and contains pastiche of various cultures; while Geneina Mall was inspired by the Far Eastern Malls of Singapore and Jakarta, the Wonderland Mall is closer to Hollywood themes (Plate 7). Yet, conceivably, al-Horrya Mall (1995), by Farouk Al-Gohary, and Tiba Malls appear to manifest the creative inner impulses of the architect where avoiding contextual referencing is visible. These designs were acting as if they are legitimising cosmopolitanism, where societies are engaged in cultural “overturning categories” (Beck, 2006), as well as decolonisation, where new decolonised society will be “reorganized” (Fanon, 1965).

In this way, we contend that towards the eighties the decolonisation aspirations provoked ideological stances of resistance and influence attitudes. This applies to the early phase of decolonisation that Fanon described as “unqualified assimilation” (Fanon, 1963, p. 221). At the culmination of the 20th century, ideological attitudes of the decolonisation process started to fade; moving towards homogenous co-existence of Self and Other, and resulting in cosmopolitanism. This was manifested, on the representational level, in Medina [City] (1998-2002), a short-lived architectural bilingual and bi-monthly magazine [4]. Similar
to the previous magazines, the rhetoric of resistance continues to control the inception of the magazine but in more tranquil tendency. Medina aims to both develop an understanding of “self”, and an “understanding of others” and highlight that Egypt should contribute “its share to the international scene, on the conceptual level if not the technological one” (Abdel-Kawi, 1997). This discursive resistance voice allowed broadened the scope to heterogeneous influence attitudes and encounters, which highlighted cosmopolitanism on the interventional level.

A collection of non-developed trends, on the interventional level, in the 1990s have been categorised into three trends:

1. designs that simulate history, manifested in Ahmad Mito’s Pharaonic design of The Supreme Court of Egypt, Farouk al-Gohary’s design of Oriental Weavers’ Heliopolis Headquarters, Ashraf Salah Abu-Seif’s residential building, and Faisal Bank (Plate 8), which reinterpret[s] the past;
2. designs that are based on creative personal thrusts, represented in Farouk al-Gohary’s design of the Ministry of Finance and Tax Department, and Magd Masarra’s design of the Integrated Care Society; and
3. designs that reconceptualise cultural and environmental essence including reintroducing traditional techniques and restoration and conservation efforts (Salama, 2001, p. 34).

In this regard, the authors maintain that these trends exhibit the heterogeneity of the architecture in the 20th century and the notion of decolonisation of any dominant style that is inherited or imposed by the colonial past.

By and large, these trends deconstruct the legitimacy of fixed form of identity, and manifest that “ethnic conflicts are […] primitive tribal feuds” that will diminish as “modernisation progresses” (Beck, 2006, p. 76). In essence, it is argued that such a plurality manifests the multiplicity of cultural encounters that those architects come across in everyday life. Following Beck’s notion of cosmopolitanism, the authors avow that the non-integrative nature of such categories manifests the contribution of every individual in the cosmopolitan society; every individual is engaged in endless processes of “overturning categories” (Beck, 2006, p. 76), around which the society is reorganised and, hence, decolonised.
Plate 8.
Faisal Bank Tower

Source: Taken by Authors, 2000
4. The 21st century’s cosmopolitanism within decolonialism

In Cairo, one of the most important projects to launch the 21st century was The Smart Village (2001), (Sisgov.eg, 2020) located near the great pyramids of Giza, on the Cairo-Alexandria Desert Road. As a business and commercial park, it includes: multinational and local companies; governmental and financial organisations; educational institutions; and research centres. It also accommodates communication centres, business service centres, conference centres, a library and a centre for electronic printing and publishing, as well as technological incubators that house small businesses in the field of technology. These buildings were arranged in un-thematic organic clusters within lavish green spaces, waterfalls and a lake. Focussing on attracting international and Egyptian corporations, the design concept was mainly focused on highlighting modern style glass facades and strong, geometric or sculptural shapes. In addition to the Mediterranean whims appear in the white wash colour, pyramidal form and classical symmetric entrances bring heritage into the scene and materialise the overturning cosmopolitanism categories announcing the notion of decolonialism (Plate 9).

Another mega project that was designed at the start of the 21st century is the Grand Egyptian Museum (GEM) (Plate 10). Housing 150,000 artefacts in an area 500,000 square meters, the aim is to be a major Egyptology centre in the world. The site’s main building will house the museum and a conference centre, connected by a large shaded courtyard, exhibition space and an 800-seat auditorium. The design of the multinational corporate of

Source: Both taken by Authors, 2009

Plate 9. Left: Buildings of Smart Village Egypt

Source: Taken from Heneghan Peng Architects, URL 7, 2003

Plate 10. Grand Museum master plan, façade, and entrance
Heneghan Peng Architects (Ireland), headed by the Chinese master architect Shih-Fu Peng, were selected in 2003 from 1,557 designs from 63 countries in an international architectural competition that was held under the patronage of UNESCO and the UIA (URL 4, 2011).

The GEM complex is located at the edge of the first desert plateau between the pyramids and Cairo’s megapolis. This position is distinct for its 50-metre level difference, carved by the Nile’s ancient path through the desert to the Mediterranean (URL 5, 2003). This position required a careful setting of the building on the difference in level to create a structure that doesn’t emerge above the plateau. The entrance to the grand structure is also designed to prepare the visitor to the opulence of the artefacts in the gallery and the glimpse of the great pyramids, through a grand staircase and a series of layers comprising a forecourt. The grand staircase is about 64 m-long, 24 m-high, 85 m-wide at the bottom and 117 m-wide at the top (URL 6, 2020). The position is also distinguished by extended visual axis towards the three pyramids.

Emphasising the juxtaposition of past with present, the design concept aimed to preserve the purity of local heritage by resembling the pyramid form. However, the pyramid form is abstracted into modernised triangle shapes that was also accentuated in the latticework of stone triangles. The façade chasms are cladded in faience tiles (Plate 10) that gives the effect of valuable blue-green stones that gleams with light as “a metaphor for life and eternity” (Smout et al., 2007, p. 14). In this way, the design is meant “to be a connecting element between the ancient Egyptian past and the modern age” (Smout et al., 2007, p. 14). The use of “the latest technology” (Paganini, 2010, p. 190) goes in parallel to the heritage preservation, a co-existence stance that manifested the cosmopolitanism of both/and: both traditions and modern are liberated from colonial binaries.

Similarly, Al-Azhar Park (2005) is located in a distinct position in the historic district of Islamic Cairo between the Fatimid city and the Mamluk city. The hilly site of the park is surrounded by important historic monuments such as the Sultan Hassan Mosque and the Ayyubid Citadel. Under the auspices of The Aga Khan Trust for Culture (AKTC), in 1994 a complex urban redevelopment process that spanned 20 years began, and included social and economic community regeneration, historic restoration and the provision of recreational facilities. Following the traditional Islamic gardens, the park is arranged around a central water channel axis that acts as a spine accompanied with alleyways and series of formal grades clipped by the hilltop restaurant and lakeside cafe. The entrance to the Park is made through a traditional Mediterranean pointed arched portico, holding Alhambra influence (Plate 11). Also, there are many water fountains and pools that lead ultimately to an organic

Plate 11.
Left: Al-Azhar Park Central Promenade; Right: the Citadel View Restaurant

Source: Both taken by Authors, 2007
free form. This skilful integration between formal and informal landscape formations, as well as between both the richness of history and the dynamicity of the present, is a manifestation of cosmopolitanism and decolonisation notions that refuse monopoly of singular category.

A design that materialises the both/and cosmopolitanism while showing decolonialism from fixed isms is that of the American University New Campus. Inspired by urban pattern of old Cairo, the different schools and campus facilities are arranged around a central open space, and an axis that unite the project. Praising the desert landscape and providing “texture”, the facilities surround a formal quadrangle grove that is defined by a series of formal gardens, small courtyards and stands of palm trees. While the arcades join and support campus buildings, and the Mashrabiya windows, are rooted in Islamic architecture, the façade treatment of the library is a modern interpretation of that traditional Mashrabiya (Plate 12). Being designed by different architects from different origins – Boston Design Collaborative, the American Sasaki Associates and the Egyptian Abdel-Halim Community Development Collaborative, and the Mexican architect Ricardo Legorreta – supports the cosmopolitan notion. As a cosmopolitan feature the porticoes of the portal is a Mediterranean inspiration of the Great Mosque of Córdoba in Spain. Moreover, the Mexican influence appears in Legoretta’s design of the “boldly coloured” student residences and campus centre (URL 8, 2012). Both decolonialism and cosmopolitanism notions resulted from pluralism of design trends that became more visible in the design of the New Administrative Capital of Egypt.

The New Administrative Capital of Egypt, the new megalopolis, is motivated by nationalistic urges that aspire to make Cairo a global smart city. The main objective is to provide a myriad of economic opportunities and better quality of life, which clearly manifests the decolonisation struggle. The aspired green city represents a break with the inherited thinking of singular Islamic pastiche.

The will of creating a green city is represented in the creation of twelve valleys and natural oasis, branching from the Green River that links between a series of smart neighbourhoods and planned to be one of the most distinctive gardens in the Middle East and the world. The Green River extends for ten kilometres (URL 9, 2019) long through the rectangular CBD (Central Business District, Plate 13) and is topped with a rounded gate abstracting the sunrise and representing a shared feature of Egyptian identity between past and future. Manifesting cosmopolitanism, the park of the River is divided from east to west into three homogeneous linked areas, each area has its distinctive cultural and urban characteristic. While the first area contains Islamic Garden, the second area contains open areas for hiking and an Arts Garden, and the third area includes a children’s educational park, an open library, gardens for reading, and a sports club (URL 9, 2019).

The valleys branching from the Green River are linked by green axes while considering the topography of the site, streams and torrents of rain to take advantages of the storm water drains, by converting it into entertainment hubs. Each valley is located within a residential neighbourhood that contains all services of green, recreational areas, commercial schools and therapeutic units. The capital is a green, Egyptian modern international city that reflects its character through the Egyptian context by bridging past and future. This bridging is constituted through the reorganisation of the society and materialisation of their ambitions and aspirations for decent living and quality of life, through the modern ways and modern infrastructure. Furthermore, the city will house key ministries, government agencies and the president’s office. The design concepts of some of these buildings enforce the idea of decolonialism and resulting in cosmopolitanism overtures, such as the conference, the
exhibition centres and the new headquarters of the parliament building (Plate 14). The renaissance style is visible in the dome of the new parliament building which resembles the Vatican, announcing a cosmopolitanism that brings the world together in non-hierarchical way and manifests decolonisation from the inherited rhetoric of urban and architectural colonial “accomplished expression” (Behdad, 1994, p. 7).

5. Conclusion
Taken as a whole, while the main battle in imperialism is over land, the power of cultural narrative is of significance and is manifested through architectural artefacts,
and that in Cairo is shaped by a discursive ideological structure of attitude and reference. This structure before the 1990s worked through either influence by or resistance to a specific ism. After the 1990s, the resistance attitude became less visible due to the integration of such isms through the vibrant inclusion wider range of cultures within one design, enabling uninterrupted overturning cosmopolitanism. This was evoked by aspiration to eradicate world colonial hierarchies through the simultaneous representation of national and exotic cultures.

Cairene architecture is continuously transposing itself with different attitudes of expression and visual qualities, within the framework of the “Modern State”, the dominant discourse of past and current regimes, as well as the professionals and intellectuals who represent them and voice their opinions. Therefore, debates always suggest a spectrum of attitudes that include the recycling of traditional vocabulary as a way of perpetrating character and charm upon the city as well as absorbing and reacting
in different ways to the international trends and more recently to the tidal wave of
globalisation.
This paper asserts that decolonisation and cosmopolitanism are two inseparable
facets in the architectural practice in Egypt’s 21st century. It is emphasised that
decolonisation in Cairo is discursively passing through two distinctive phases. The first
phase was until the culmination of the 20th century where the decolonisation process was
manifested mainly through extreme representations of “attitude of reference” (Said, 1994,
p. 48). The second phase started at the dawn of the 21st century where the decolonisation
process achieved a high level of liberation from such ideological references. Such a
decolonisation or liberation is evident through cosmopolitanism that “opens up new
horizons by demonstrating […] border crossings” and “transnational phenomena” (Beck,
2003, p. 458), or decolonial cosmopolitanism. This is what Calhoun termed the “actually
existing” or “new” cosmopolitanism (Calhoun, 2002), and this new cosmopolitanism, he
affirms, provokes local/global interplay that appreciates both the local Self and the global
Other.
Cosmopolitanism in Cairo is as complex as its history, initially stemming from two
opposed attitudes – influence and resistance – resulting from the decolonisation notion
that fights the dominance of a singular ism. In architectural practice, modernism was the
patent for progress and equality and a collective psyche, while Pharaonism, Islamism or
Arabism were, at different stages, were the symbols of glory and difference. While the
coloniser strove to chart a monolithic image for the colonised, to distinguish its Other, after
independence the colonised inherited such forms of Otherness to distinguish its Self. This
decolonisation notion is based on a complex idiosyncratic core to human experience, which
emerged from concurrent historical and secular everyday life in an attempt to suppress
ideological supremacy. Surpassing such ideology, cosmopolitanism unintentionally
emerged as a side effect.
Then at the conclusion of the 20th century, cosmopolitanism was consequently
institutionalised in unique ways and “acquired enduring realities” (Beck, 2006, p. 22), as
evidenced in the previous governmental projects. These realities were materialised in hybrid
architectural schemes that must not be interpreted as arbitrary but rather as purposeful
decolonial expressions that are both cosmopolitan and decolonial. The highly selective
incorporation of forms and motifs of the projects discussed suggests global and “multiple
loyalties” (Beck, 2006, p. 9) – Mediterranean, Arab, Pharaonic and non-Arab. In doing so, the
buildings unintentionally speak of cosmopolitanism that sought to consolidate the power of
the “Modern State” which became an organising body and a legal authority that represents
the will and the collective psyche of its people in an age of rapid non-natural evolution and
ambiguity.

Notes
1. Also see: Ashraf Salama, ‘Architectural identity in the Middle East: hidden assumptions and
philosophical perspectives’ in D. Mazzoleni, G. Anzani, A. M. Salama, M. Sepe, & M. M. Simone,
eds., L’Architettura Come Linguaggio Di Pace: Architecture as Language of Peace (Italy, Intra
Moenia, 2005), pp. 77-85.
2. Also see: Marwa El-Ashmouni, ‘Interrogating Egyptian Nationalism: Transcultural Architecture
3. For more about Egyptian Architects, see Tarek Sakr, Early Twentieth-Century Architecture in
Cairo, 1992; and the Arabic account of Abdel-Gawad, Giants of Architecture in the Twentieth
Century, p. 148.
4. Editorial board consists of: the American graduate, Amr Abdel-Kawi (chairman); Ali Gabr; Tamer El-Khorazy; Hazem El-Mestikawy; and Said Sorour. All quotes used from Medina are originally in English.
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